

Appl. No. 10/633,386
Amendment Date: April 11, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application (it should be noted that claims cancelled are cancelled without prejudice):

5

LISTING OF THE CLAIMS

Claim 1 (previously presented): A method for characterizing an electronic circuit comprising:

receiving design information from a circuit design tool;
10 identifying an electrical circuit condition including one or more of a noise event, a coupling event, a race event and a dynamic hazard;
determining a design verification test;
evaluating the effectiveness of the design verification test in exercising the electrical circuit condition; and
15 determining a second design verification test and also evaluating the effectiveness of the second design verification test in exercising the electrical circuit condition when the first design verification test does not effectively exercise the electrical circuit condition.

Claim 2 (original): The method of Claim 1 wherein determining a design verification test comprises:

generating a design verification test using one or more of a manual test definition process, an algorithmic test definition process, a random test definition process and an exhaustive test definition process.

Claim 3 (original): The method of Claim 1 wherein evaluating the effectiveness 25 of the design verification test comprises:

executing the design verification test in a simulator; and
recognizing the presence of the electrical circuit condition in the simulator results.

Appl. No. 10/633,386
Amendment Date: April 11, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Claim 4 (original): The method of Claim 1 wherein evaluating the effectiveness of the design verification test comprises:

representing the electrical condition in a simulator monitor function;
executing the design verification test and the simulator monitor function in a
5 simulator; and

monitoring the activity of the simulator monitor function.

Claim 5 (original): The method of Claim 1 wherein receiving design information comprises:

10 parsing an output report from a circuit design tool; and
generating tokens representing the parsed output report.

Claim 6 (cancelled).

Claim 7 (original): The method of Claim 1 wherein identifying an electrical circuit condition comprises:

15 receiving tokens descriptive of the design information; and
analyze the structure of the tokens in accordance with a pre-established electrical event definition.

Claim 8 (original): The method of Claim 1 wherein evaluating the effectiveness of the design verification test comprises:

20 generating a description file readable by one or more of an automatic test pattern generator, a fault simulator, and a vector tester; and
causing one or more of an automatic test pattern generator, a fault simulator, and a vector tester to be executed using said generated description file as an input.

Claim 9 (original): The method of Claim 1 further comprising:

25 receiving the electrical circuit condition in a design verification tool; and
verifying the design of the electronic circuit based on the electrical circuit condition.

Appl. No. 10/633,386

Amendment Date: April 11, 2005

Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Claim 10 (original): The method of Claim 9 wherein verifying the design comprises simulating one or more of a noise event, a coupling event, a timing event, a race event, and a dynamic hazard.

Claim 11 (original): The method of Claim 9 wherein verifying the design 5 comprises automatically generating a test pattern for testing one or more of a noise event, a coupling event, a timing event, a race event, and a dynamic hazard.

Claim 12 (previously presented): An apparatus for characterizing an electronic circuit comprising:

10 design information receiver capable of receiving design information; circuit condition identifier capable of identifying an electrical circuit condition including one or more of a noise event, a coupling event, a race event and a dynamic hazard in the design information that requires test; design verification test selection unit capable of selecting a first design verification test; and evaluation unit capable of evaluating the effectiveness of the first selected design verification test in exercising the identified circuit condition and wherein the design verification test selection unit is capable of selecting a second design verification test when the evaluation unit determines that the first selected design verification test is ineffective in exercising the identified circuit 15 condition and wherein the evaluation unit is capable of evaluating the effectiveness of the second selected design verification test.

20 Claim 13 (original): The apparatus of Claim 12 wherein the design verification test selection unit comprises one or more of a manual test definition module, an automated test definition module, a random test definition module, and an exhaustive test definition module.

Appl. No. 10/633,386
Amendment Date: April 11, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Claim 14 (original): The apparatus of Claim 12 wherein the evaluation unit comprises:

executive module that conveys the design verification test to a simulator; and
analyzer module that receives results from the simulator and issues a signal when
5 the electrical circuit condition is recognized in said simulator results.

Claim 15 (original): The apparatus of Claim 12 wherein the evaluation unit comprises:

simulator monitor function receiver capable of receiving a simulator monitor
function;
10 executive module that conveys the design verification test and the received
simulator monitor function to a simulator; and
analyzer module that issues a signal when the simulator monitor function is
triggered.

Claim 16 (original): The apparatus of Claim 12 wherein the design information
15 receiver comprises a lexical analyzer capable of generating tokens according to an
output report received from a circuit design tool.

Claim 17 (cancelled).

Claim 18 (original): The apparatus of Claim 12 wherein the circuit condition
identifier comprises a parser capable of analyzing the structure of received tokens in
20 accordance with a pre-established electrical event definition.

Claim 19 (original): The apparatus of Claim 12 wherein the evaluation unit
comprises:

description file generator capable of generating a description file that is readable
by one or more of an automatic test pattern generator, a fault simulator, and a
25 vector tester; and

Appl. No. 10/633,386

Amendment Date: April 11, 2005

Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2005

test executive module capable of starting one or more of an automatic test pattern generator, a fault simulator, and a vector tester using said generated description file as an input.

Claim 20 (original): The apparatus of Claim 12 further comprising a design

5 verification director capable of:

directing the received electrical condition to a design verification tool;
starting a design verification tool;
receiving an output from the design verification tool; and
issuing a signal when the identified electrical circuit condition is not detected in
10 the received design verification tool output.

Claim 21 (previously presented): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable functions for characterizing an electronic circuit comprising:

15 receiving design information from a circuit design tool;
identifying an electrical circuit condition including one or more of a noise event, a coupling event, a race event and a dynamic hazard that requires test based on the design information;
determining a design verification test;
evaluating the effectiveness of the design verification test in exercising the electrical circuit condition; and
20 determining a second design verification test and also evaluating the effectiveness of the second design verification test in exercising the electrical circuit condition when the first design verification test does not effectively exercise the electrical circuit condition.

Claim 22 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 21 wherein

25 determining a design verification test comprises:

generating a design verification test using one or more of a manual test definition process, an algorithmic test definition process, a random test definition process and an exhaustive test definition process.

Appl. No. 10/633,386
Amendment Date: April 11, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Claim 23 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 21 wherein
evaluating the effectiveness of the design verification test comprises:
executing the design verification test in a simulator; and
recognizing the presence of the electrical circuit condition in the simulator results.

5 Claim 24 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 21 wherein
evaluating the effectiveness of the design verification test comprises:
representing the electrical condition in a simulator monitor function,
executing the design verification test and the simulator monitor function in a
simulator; and
10 monitoring the activity of the simulator monitor function.

Claim 25 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 21 wherein
receiving design information comprises:
parsing an output report from a circuit design tool; and
generating tokens representing the parsed output report.

15 Claim 26 (cancelled).

Claim 27 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 21 wherein
identifying an electrical circuit condition comprises:
receiving tokens descriptive of the design information; and
analyze the structure of the tokens in accordance with a pre-established electrical
20 event definition.

Claim 28 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 21 wherein
evaluating the effectiveness of the design verification test comprises:
generating a description file readable by one or more of an automatic test pattern
generator, a fault simulator, and a vector tester; and
25 causing one or more of an automatic test pattern generator, a fault simulator, and a
vector tester to be executed using said generated description file as an input.

Appl. No. 10/633,386

Amendment Date: April 11, 2005

Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Claim 29 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 21 further comprising:

receiving the electrical circuit condition in a design verification tool; and
verifying the design of the electronic circuit based on the electrical circuit

5 condition.

Claim 30 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 29 wherein
verifying the design comprises simulating one or more of a noise event, a coupling
event, a timing event, a race event, and a dynamic hazard.

Claim 31 (original): The computer-readable medium of Claim 29 wherein

10 verifying the design comprises automatically generating a test pattern for testing one
or more of a noise event, a coupling event, a timing event, a race event, and a
dynamic hazard.

Claim 32 (previously presented): An apparatus for characterizing an electronic
circuit comprising:

15 means for receiving circuit design information;
means for identifying electrical circuit conditions including one or more of a noise
event, a coupling event, a race event and a dynamic hazard that require test;
means for selecting a first design verification test;
means for evaluating the effectiveness of the first design verification test in
20 exercising the identified electrical circuit condition; and
means for selecting a second design verification test when the first design
verification test is found to be ineffective.